UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/570,156	03/27/2006	Makoto Hirano	127194	4890
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 03/18/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3208	350	FORD, NATHAN K		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/570,156	HIRANO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NATHAN K. FORD	1792
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-4 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.</li> </ul>		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-2, drawn to substrate treatment equipment.

Group II, claim(s) 3-4, drawn to a manufacturing method of a substrate.

If a reference teaches all elements common between the groups, the requirement of unity of invention will not be satisfied. Todoroki, JP 11-054593, teaches every common element between the groupings (wherein machine translation as used):

- The insertion of a vertical, multistage substrate holder (20) into a substrate treatment chamber (Fig. 1);
- The heat treatment of the substrates [0017];
- The sensing of the holding condition of the substrates [0015];
- The transfer of a substrate deemed abnormal by the substrate transfer unit (30) [0035].
  - Since Todoroki's apparatus has the capacity to differentiate between normal and abnormal substrates, sufficient means exist to execute the conveyance of those substrates determined to be in a non-abnormal state as recited by the claim.

In light of Todoroki, the invention as claimed is not technically significant under PCT Rule 13.2, Section 2. As such, the claims do not satisfy the requirement of unity of invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss

Application/Control Number: 10/570,156

Art Unit: 1792

of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these

claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected

invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit

evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on

the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to the firm of Oliff & Berridge on February 29, 2008, to request an oral election to the

above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to

Nathan K. Ford whose telephone number is 571-270-1880. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00

EDT. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland

can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 571-273-8300.

/N. K. F./

Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Karla Moore/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Page 3